arXiv:1405.0523v2 [math.PR] 10 May 2015

Infinite-dimensional stochastic differential equations related to Bessel random point fields

Ryuichi Honda, Hirofumi Osada
(Accepted in Stochastic Processes and Their Applications)
Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan

Abstract

We solve the infinite-dimensional stochastic differential equations (ISDEs) describing an infinite number of Brownian particles in $\mathbb{R}^+$ interacting through the two-dimensional Coulomb potential. The equilibrium states of the associated unlabeled stochastic dynamics are Bessel random point fields. To solve these ISDEs, we calculate the logarithmic derivatives, and prove that the random point fields are quasi-Gibbsian.

Keywords: Interacting Brownian particles, Bessel random point fields, random matrices, infinite-dimensional stochastic differential equations, Coulomb potentials, hard edge scaling limit
2000 MSC: 82C22, 15A52, 60J60, 60K35, 82B21

1. Introduction

The Bessel random point fields μ_α $(-1 < \alpha < \infty)$ are probability measures on the configuration space S over $S = [0, \infty)$, whose n-point correlation functions ρ_α^n (see (2.2)) with respect to the Lebesgue measure are given by

$$\rho_\alpha^n(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \det[\mathsf{K}_\alpha(x_i, x_j)]_{1 \le i,j \le n}. \tag{1.1}$$

Here, $\mathsf{K}_\alpha(x, y)$ is a continuous function called the Bessel kernel defined with the Bessel function J_α of order α such that for $x \neq y$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{K}_\alpha(x, y) &= \frac{J_\alpha(\sqrt{x})\sqrt{y}J'_\alpha(\sqrt{y}) - \sqrt{x}J'_\alpha(\sqrt{x})\sqrt{y}J_\alpha(\sqrt{y})}{2(x-y)} \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{x}J_{\alpha+1}(\sqrt{x})J_\alpha(\sqrt{y}) - J_\alpha(\sqrt{x})\sqrt{y}J_{\alpha+1}(\sqrt{y})}{2(x-y)} \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

and that for $x = y$

$$\mathsf{K}_\alpha(x,x) = \frac{1}{4}\{J_\alpha(\sqrt{x})^2 - J_{\alpha+1}(\sqrt{x})J_{\alpha-1}(\sqrt{x})\}. \tag{1.3}$$

Note that $0 \leq \mathsf{K}_\alpha \leq \mathrm{Id}$ as an operator on $L^2(S, dx)$. By definition μ_α are determinantal random point fields with Bessel kernels K_α (see [27]).

It is known that these random point fields arise as a scaling limit at the hard left edge of the distributions μ_α^{n} of the spectrum of the Laguerre ensemble. The random point fields μ_α represent the thermodynamic limit of the n-particle systems μ_α^{n}, whose labeled densities $\sigma_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}$ are given by

$$\sigma_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{\mathsf{n}} x_i/4\mathsf{n}} \prod_{j=1}^{\mathsf{n}} x_j^\alpha \prod_{k<l}^{\mathsf{n}} |x_k - x_l|^2. \tag{1.4}$$

Very loosely, by taking n to infinity, we obtain the following informal expression for the μ_α:

$$\mu_\alpha(d\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_\alpha^{\infty}} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j^\alpha \prod_{k<l}^{\infty} |x_k - x_l|^2 \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} dx_m. \tag{1.5}$$

Hence we regard the μ_α as random point fields with free potentials $\Phi_\alpha(x) = -\alpha \log x$ and interaction potential $\Psi(x) = -2\log|x|$. Unlike Ruelle's class of interaction potentials, one can not justify this using the Dobrushin-Lanford-Ruelle (DLR) equations. Instead, we will proceed in terms of logarithmic derivatives in Theorem 2.3.

We next turn to the stochastic dynamics associated with the μ_α^{n}. To prevent the particles from hitting the origin, we suppose that $1 \leq \alpha$ (Lemma 8.1). Then, from Eq. (1.4), it can be seen that the natural n-particle stochastic dynamics $\mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{n}} = (X_t^{\mathsf{n},1}, \ldots, X_t^{\mathsf{n},\mathsf{n}})$ are given by the stochastic differential equations (SDEs)

$$dX_t^{\mathsf{n},i} = dB_t^i + \{-\frac{1}{8\mathsf{n}} + \frac{\alpha}{2X_t^{\mathsf{n},i}} + \sum_{j\neq i}^{\mathsf{n}} \frac{1}{X_t^{\mathsf{n},i} - X_t^{\mathsf{n},j}}\}dt \quad (1 \leq i \leq \mathsf{n}). \tag{1.6}$$

Hence, taking n to infinity, we come to the ISDEs

$$dX_t^i = dB_t^i + \{\frac{\alpha}{2X_t^i} + \sum_{j\neq i}^{\infty} \frac{1}{X_t^i - X_t^j}\}dt \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{1.7}$$

The purpose of this paper is to solve these ISDEs in such a way that the equilibrium states of the associated unlabeled dynamics $\mathsf{X}_t = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{X_t^i}$ are Bessel random point fields μ_α.

For a given free potential Φ and interaction potential Ψ, the interacting Brownian motions in infinite dimensions are the stochastic dynamics given by ISDEs of the form

$$dX_t^i = dB_t^i + \frac{\beta}{2}\nabla\Phi(X_t^i)dt + \frac{\beta}{2}\sum_{j\neq i}\nabla\Psi(X_t^i, X_t^j)dt \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{1.8}$$

Here, $\{B^i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of independent copies of d-dimensional Brownian motions. The study of interacting Brownian motions in infinite dimensions was initiated by Lang [9], [10], and continued by Shiga [25], Fritz [6], Tanemura [31], and others. In these works, Ψ is assumed to be a Ruelle type potential: that is, Ψ is super-stable and integrable at infinity. In addition, Ψ is assumed to be of class C_0^3 ([9, 10, 25, 6]) or to decay exponentially at infinity with a hard core ([31, 4]). Hence, polynomial decay potentials are excluded, even from Ruelle's category.

Recently, an interesting class of random point fields has appeared from random matrix theory. This class includes such as the sine, Airy, and Bessel random point fields in one-dimensional space and the Ginibre random point field in two dimensions. These represent the thermodynamic limits of the distributions of Gaussian random matrices. There are many other such random point fields that emerge from random matrix theory, but these examples are of particular note. The sine, Airy, and Bessel random point fields describe the universality classes called bulk, soft-edge, and hard-edge scaling limits, respectively. The Ginibre random point field is rotation and translation invariant, and thus is the typical example in two dimensions.

In these random point fields, the interactions always have logarithmic potentials and therefore represent the outer side of the classical theory of interacting Brownian motions in infinite dimensions. In [16, 17, 18, 19], the second author (H.O.) developed the theory applicable to these examples. This theory asserts that the quasi-Gibbs property and the existence of logarithmic derivative d^μ of random poitnt fields μ together with marginal assumptions such as non-collision and non-explosion properties of tagged particles imply the existence of (weak) solutions of the ISDEs in Eq. (3.8). In [17, 18, 19], he also gave a sufficient condition of the quasi-Gibbs property and the existence of a logarithmic derivative. Nevertheless, veryfying

this sufficient condition for random point fields appearing in random matrix theory is a difficult problem, and the proof depends crucially on the specific property of each model.

In [17, 18], H.O. proved these properties for the sine and Ginibre random point fields and solved ISDEs related to these random point fields in the sense of weak solutions. In [21], H.O. and Tanemura prove the quasi-Gibbs property and calculate the logarithmic derivative of the Airy random point fields. In [22], they develop a general theory on ISDEs that asserts the existence and pathwise uniqueness of strong solutions of ISDEs under the assumptions of the quasi-Gibbs property, the existence of logarithmic derivative, and other marginal assumptions.

The most important assumptions for the theories in both [16, 17, 18, 19] and [22] are the same. These are the quasi-Gibbs property and the existence of a logarithmic derivative d^{μ} of the random point fields μ. Once these have been established, we can solve the ISDEs of Eq. (3.8) in the sense of weak solutions using [16, 17, 18, 19] and in the sense of pathwise unique, strong solutions by [22]. Hence, ensuring that these two assumptions holds is an important issue.

In the present paper, we prove the quasi-Gibbs property and calculate the logarithmic derivative for the Bessel random point field. Applying the general theories in [16, 17, 18, 19, 22], we then solve the ISDE for the Bessel random point field with $\beta = 2$, which describes the remaining universality class in one dimension.

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we establish the mathematical framework and state the main results (Theorems 2.1–2.4). In Section 3, we prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 using Theorems 2.3 and 2.4 in combination with the general theory developed in [16, 17, 18, 19, 22]. In Section 4, we set forth Theorem 4.1 in preparation for Section 5, where we calculate the logarithmic derivatives of Bessel random point fields and prove Theorem 2.3. In Section 6, we prove that these are quasi-Gibbssian (Theorem 2.4). In Section 7, we prove Lemma 5.2. In Section 8, we prove Lemma 8.1.

2. Set up and main results

Let $S = [0, \infty)$ and $S_r = \{x \in S;\, x < r\}$. Let

$$\mathsf{S} = \{\mathsf{s} = \sum_i \delta_{s_i}\,;\, s_i \in S,\, \mathsf{s}(S_r) < \infty \text{ for all } r \in \mathbb{N}\},$$

where δ_a stands for the delta measure at a. We endow S with the vague topology, under which S is a Polish space. S is called the configuration space over S. We write $\mathsf{s}(x) = \mathsf{s}(\{x\})$. Let

$$\mathsf{S}_{\text{s.i.}} = \{\mathsf{s} \in \mathsf{S}\,;\ \mathsf{s}(x) \leq 1 \text{ for all } x \in S,\ \mathsf{s}(S) = \infty\}. \tag{2.1}$$

By definition, $\mathsf{S}_{\text{s.i.}}$ is the set of the configurations consisting of an infinite number of single point measures.

A symmetric locally integrable function $\rho^n : S^n \to [0, \infty)$ is called the n-point correlation function of a probability measure μ on S w.r.t. the Lebesgue measure if ρ^n satisfies

$$\int_{A_1^{k_1} \times \cdots \times A_m^{k_m}} \rho^n(x_1, \ldots, x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n = \int_{\mathsf{S}} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\mathsf{s}(A_i)!}{(\mathsf{s}(A_i) - k_i)!} d\mu \tag{2.2}$$

for any sequence of disjoint bounded measurable subsets $A_1, \ldots, A_m \subset S$ and a sequence of natural numbers $k_1, \ldots, k_m$ satisfying $k_1 + \cdots + k_m = n$. When $\mathsf{s}(A_i) - k_i < 0$, according to our interpretation, $\mathsf{s}(A_i)!/(\mathsf{s}(A_i) - k_i)! = 0$ by convention. It is known that under a mild condition $\{\rho^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ determines the measure μ [27].

Let μ_α be Bessel random point fields. By definition μ_α are probability measures on S whose n-point correlation functions ρ_α^n are given by (1.1).

Let $\mathfrak{u} : S^{\mathbb{N}} \to \mathsf{S}$ such that $\mathfrak{u}((s_i)) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{s_i}$. We call $\mathfrak{u}$ an unlabel map.

A (weak) solution $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{B})$ of an ISDE starting at $\mathbf{s}$ is called a strong solution if $\mathbf{X}$ is a function of Brownian motion $\mathbf{B}$ and the starting point $\mathbf{s}$.

For a pair of Radon measures μ and ν, we write $\mu \prec \nu$ if μ is absolutely continuous with respect to ν.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that $1 \leq \alpha < \infty$. The following then holds.*
(1) *For each α, there exists a set S_{be} such that*

$$\mu_\alpha(\mathsf{S}_{\text{be}}) = 1, \quad \mathsf{S}_{\text{be}} \subset \mathsf{S}_{\text{s.i.}}, \tag{2.3}$$

and that, for all $\mathbf{s} \in \mathfrak{u}^{-1}(\mathsf{S}_{\text{be}})$, there exists a $[0, \infty)^{\mathbb{N}}$-valued continuous process $\mathbf{X} = (X^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, and $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$-valued Brownian motion $\mathbf{B} = (B^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying

$$dX_t^i = dB_t^i + \{\frac{\alpha}{2X_t^i} + \sum_{j \neq i}^{\infty} \frac{1}{X_t^i - X_t^j}\} dt \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{2.4}$$

$$\mathbf{X}_0 = \mathbf{s}. \tag{2.5}$$

Moreover, $\mathbf{X}$ *satisfies*

$$P(\mathfrak{u}(\mathbf{X}_t) \in \mathsf{S}_{\mathrm{be}},\ 0 \le \forall t < \infty) = 1. \tag{2.6}$$

(2) *For* $\mu_\alpha \circ \mathfrak{l}^{-1}$*-a.s.* $\mathbf{s}$*,* $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{B})$ *above is a strong solution of* (2.4) *and* (2.5) *such that*

$$\mu_\alpha \circ \mathfrak{u}(\mathbf{X}_t)^{-1} \prec \mu_\alpha \quad \text{ for all } t \in [0, \infty). \tag{2.7}$$

Furthermore, the μ_α*-strong uniqueness holds in the sense that any family of weak solutions satisfying* (2.7) *becomes the strong solution for* $\mu_\alpha \circ \mathfrak{l}^{-1}$*-a.s.* $\mathbf{s}$*, and that any two strong solutions* $(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{B})$ *and* $(\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{B})$ *defined on the same Brownian motion* $\mathbf{B}$ *starting at* $\mathbf{s} = \mathfrak{l}(\mathsf{s})$ *satisfying* (2.7) *are pathwise unique*

$$P(\mathbf{X}_t = \mathbf{X}'_t \text{ for all } t\ ) = 1 \tag{2.8}$$

for $\mu_\alpha \circ \mathfrak{l}^{-1}$*-a.s.* $\mathbf{s}$*. Here* $\mathfrak{l} : \mathsf{S} \to [0, \infty)^{\mathbb{N}}$ *is the label introduced by Remark 2.1* (2)*.*

Remark 2.1. (1) When $-1 < \alpha < 1$, the left most particle hits the origin. Hence a coefficient coming from the boundary condition will appear in the ISDEs. Since we suppose $1 \le \alpha$, particles never hit the origin (see Lemma 8.1). It would be an interesting problem to study the case $-1 < \alpha < 1$ where the boundary condition would appear.
(2) The correspondence $\mathsf{s} \mapsto \mathbf{s} = (s_i)$ is called a label. In case of Bessel random point fields, there exists a natural label such that $s_i < s_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. As we see later, all the particles X_t^i never collide each other for all $t \in [0, \infty)$. Hence the initial label is kept forever. In particular, if we take $X_0^i < X_0^{i+1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ initially, then $X_t^i < X_t^{i+1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in [0, \infty)$. We denote this label by $\mathfrak{l}$ in (2) of Theorem 2.1.
(3) After submitting the first version of the manuscript, a general theory on the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions of infinite-dimensional stochastic differential equations has been developed and completed (see [22]). When the first version was submitted, no preprint of the general theory was available. It is now clarified and confirmed that the main assumptions required in [22] follow from the results of the present paper (Theorems 2.3 and 2.4). We noticed that the existence and uniqueness of strong solutions is obtained immediately by combining the general theory in [22] and the results in Theorems 2.3 and 2.4. Hence, in the revised version, we newly add (2) of Theorem 2.1. The original Theorem 2.1 is renumbered as Theorem 2.1 (1).

A diffusion with state space S_0 is a family of continuous stochastic processes with the strong Markov property starting at each point of the state space S_0. In general, the notion of the Markov property depends on the filtering. We always consider the natural filtering in the present paper [5].

Theorem 2.2. *Assume that* $1 \leq \alpha < \infty$. *Let* $\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{be}} = \mathfrak{u}^{-1}(\mathsf{S}_{\mathrm{be}})$. *Let* $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{s}}$ *be the distribution of* $\mathbf{X}$ *given by Theorem 2.1. Then* $\{\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{s}}\}_{\mathbf{s}\in\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{be}}}$ *is a diffusion with state space* $\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{be}}$.

We deduce Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 from a general theory developed in [16, 17, 18, 19, 22]. The key point for this is to calculate *the logarithmic derivative* of the measure μ_α and to prove *the quasi-Gibbs property* of μ_α. These two notions play an important role in the proof of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2.

The logarithmic derivative of μ_α will be calculated in Theorem 2.1. We will use Theorem 4.1 to prove Theorem 2.1 in Section 5. The quasi-Gibbs property of μ_α will be proved in Theorem 2.4. Theorem 2.4 will be proved in Section 6.

To introduce the notion of the logarithmic derivative of random point fields we recall the definitions of reduced Palm measures and Campbell measures.

Let μ be a probability measure on $(\mathsf{S}, \mathcal{B}(\mathsf{S}))$. A probability measure $\mu_{\mathbf{x}}$ is called the reduced Palm measure conditioned at $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in S^k$ if $\mu_{\mathbf{x}}$ is the regular conditional probability defined by

$$\mu_{\mathbf{x}} = \mu(\cdot - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \delta_{x_i} |\ \mathsf{s}(x_i) \geq 1 \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, k). \tag{2.9}$$

Let ρ^k be the k-point correlation function of μ with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Let $\mu^{[k]}$ be the measure on $S^k \times \mathsf{S}$ defined by

$$\mu^{[k]}(A \times B) = \int_A \mu_{\mathbf{x}}(B)\rho^k(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}. \tag{2.10}$$

Here we set $d\mathbf{x} = dx_1 \cdots dx_k$ for $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in S^k$. The measure $\mu^{[k]}$ is called the k-Campbell measure.

Definition 2.1. We call $\mathsf{d}^{\mu} \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mu^{[1]})$ the logarithmic derivative of μ if d^{μ} satisfies

$$\int_{S\times\mathsf{S}} \mathsf{d}^{\mu} f d\mu^{[1]} = -\int_{S\times\mathsf{S}} \frac{\partial f(x,\mathsf{s})}{\partial x} d\mu^{[1]} \quad \text{for all } f \in C_0^{\infty}((0,\infty)) \otimes C_b(\mathsf{S}). \tag{2.11}$$

Very loosely, (2.11) can be written as $\mathsf{d}^{\mu} = \partial \log \mu^{[1]}(x,\mathsf{s})/\partial x$. This intuitive expression is the reason why we call d^{μ} the logarithmic derivative of μ.

Theorem 2.3. *Assume that $1 \leq \alpha < \infty$. Then μ_α has the logarithmic derivative $\mathsf{d}^{\mu_\alpha} \in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mu^1_\alpha)$ defined by*

$$\mathsf{d}^{\mu_\alpha}(x,\mathsf{y}) = \frac{\alpha}{x} + \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{2}{x-y_i}. \tag{2.12}$$

Here $\mathsf{y} = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \delta_{y_i}$.

Remark 2.2. Since we suppose $1 \leq \alpha$, particles never hit the origin in Theorem 2.3. If $-1 < \alpha < 1$, then the left most particle hits the origin. Hence in the definition of logarithmic derivative, it would be more natural to take $C_0^{\infty}([0,\infty)) \otimes C_b(\mathsf{S})$ as a space of test functions. In this case, the logarithmic derivative contains a term arising from the bounadry condition. Although it would be interesting to study this case, we do not pursue this here.

We next introduce the notion of the quasi-Gibbs property.

For two measures ν_1, ν_2 on a measurable space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B})$ we write $\nu_1 \leq \nu_2$ if $\nu_1(A) \leq \nu_2(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{B}$. We say a sequence of finite Radon measures $\{\nu^{\mathsf{n}}\}$ on a Polish space Ω converge weakly to a finite Radon measure ν if $\lim_{\mathsf{n}\to\infty} \int f d\nu^{\mathsf{n}} = \int f d\nu$ for all $f \in C_b(\Omega)$.

Let $\{b_r\}_{r=1}^{\infty}$ be an increasing sequence of natural numbers. Let

$$\mathsf{S}_r^m = \{\mathsf{x} \in \mathsf{S}\,;\, \mathsf{x}(S_{b_r}) = m\} \text{ and } \Lambda_r^m = \Lambda(\cdot \cap \mathsf{S}_r^m),$$

where Λ is the Poisson random point field whose intensity is the Lebesgue measure. We denote by $\mathcal{H}_r(\mathsf{x})$ the Hamiltonian on S_{b_r} such that

$$\mathcal{H}_r(\mathsf{x}) = \sum_{x_i \in S_{b_r}} \Phi(x_i) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x_i, x_j \in S_{b_r},\, i\neq j} \Psi(x_i, x_j). \tag{2.13}$$

Here we set $\mathsf{x} = \sum_i \delta_{x_i}$. Let $\pi_r, \pi_r^c : \mathsf{S} \to \mathsf{S}$ be the maps such that

$$\pi_r(\mathsf{s})(\cdot) = \mathsf{s}(\cdot \cap S_{b_r}) \text{ and } \pi_r^c(\mathsf{s})(\cdot) = \mathsf{s}(\cdot \cap S_{b_r}^c).$$

Definition 2.2. A probability measure μ is said to be a (Φ, Ψ)-quasi Gibbs measure if there exists an increasing sequence of natural numbers $\{b_r\}_{r=1}^{\infty}$ such that, for each $r, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and for $\mu_{r,k}^m$-a.e. $\mathsf{s} \in \mathsf{S}$, there exists a sequence of Borel subsets $\mathsf{S}_{r,k}^m$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathsf{S}_{r,k}^m \subset \mathsf{S}_{r,k+1}^m \subset \mathsf{S}_r^m \quad \text{for all } k, \\ &\lim_{k\to\infty} \mu(\cdot \cap \mathsf{S}_{r,k}^m) = \mu(\cdot \cap \mathsf{S}_r^m) \quad \text{weakly}, \end{aligned}$$

and that $\mu_{r,k}^m = \mu(\cdot \cap \mathsf{S}_{r,k}^m)$ satisfy, for each $r, m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for $\mu_{r,k}^m$-a.e. $\mathsf{s} \in \mathsf{S}$,

$$c_1^{-1} e^{-\mathcal{H}_r(\mathsf{x})} \Lambda_r^m(d\mathsf{x}) \le \mu_{r,k,\mathsf{s}}^m(d\mathsf{x}) \le c_1 e^{-\mathcal{H}_r(\mathsf{x})} \Lambda_r^m(d\mathsf{x}). \tag{2.14}$$

Here $c_1 = c_1(r, m, k, \pi_r^c(\mathsf{s}))$ is a positive constant and $\mu_{r,k,\mathsf{s}}^m$ is the regular conditional probability measure of $\mu_{r,k}^m$ defined by

$$\mu_{r,k,\mathsf{s}}^m(d\mathsf{x}) = \mu_{r,k}^m(\pi_r \in d\mathsf{x} |\ \pi_r^c)(\mathsf{s}). \tag{2.15}$$

The notion of quasi-Gibbsian is first introduced in [18]. The original definition of the quasi-Gibbs measures is slightly more general than the present version, and is essentially the same. We adopt here a restrictive version for the sake of simplicity.

We remark that we do not assume the symmetry of the interaction potential Ψ. Hence we take the ordered summation of $\Psi(x_i, x_j)$, and put $1/2$ in the sum of (2.13).

Theorem 2.4. *Let $-1 < \alpha < \infty$. Then μ_α is a $(\alpha \log x, 2\log|x-y|)$-quasi Gibbs measure.*

Combining Theorem 2.4 with a general theory [18, Corollary 2.1], we obtain a natural unlabeled μ_α-reversible diffusion (X, P).

Theorem 2.5. *Let $-1 < \alpha < \infty$. Let $\mathcal{E}^{\mu_\alpha}$ and $\mathcal{D}_\circ^{\mu_\alpha}$ be as in (3.4) and (3.5) with $k = 0$ and $\mu = \mu_\alpha$, Then $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu_\alpha}, \mathcal{D}_\circ^{\mu_\alpha})$ is closable on $L^2(\mathsf{S}, \mu_\alpha)$. There exists a diffusion (X, P) associated with the closure of $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu_\alpha}, \mathcal{D}_\circ^{\mu_\alpha})$ on $L^2(\mathsf{S}, \mu_\alpha)$.*

Remark 2.3. (1) If $-1 < \alpha < 1$, then the left most particle hits the origin.
(2) We write the diffusion X in Theorem 2.5 as $\mathsf{X}_t = \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \delta_{X_t^i}$. Since the particles never collide each other, the infinite-dimensional labeled paths $(X_t^i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$

is well defined. Then with suitable labeling of the unlabeled particles X at time $t = 0$, the solution of the ISDE $(X_t^i - X_0^i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ becomes an infinite-dimensional additive functional of the unlabeled diffusion (X, P). We remark that this additive functional is *not* Dirichlet process because *no* coordinate functions x_i $(i \in \mathbb{N})$ belong to the domain of the Dirichlet form even if locally.
(3) There are other approaches for this kind of unlabeled stochastic dynamics related to random matrix theory. See [28], [7], [8], [1], [2], and [13]. These approaches are more algebraic, and restricted to one dimensional system with inverse temperature $\beta = 2$.
(4) Let $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathrm{path}}$ be the map from $C([0,\infty); S^{\mathbb{N}})$ to $C([0,\infty); \mathsf{S})$ defined by

$$\mathfrak{u}_{\mathrm{path}}(\mathbf{X}) = \{\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \delta_{X_t^i}\}_{t\in[0,\infty)} = \{\mathfrak{u}(\mathbf{X}_t)\}_{t\in[0,\infty)}, \tag{2.16}$$

where $\mathbf{X} = \{(X_t^i)_{i=1}^k\}$. We set $\mathsf{X} = \mathfrak{u}_{\mathrm{path}}(\mathbf{X})$. We call $\mathbf{X}$ (resp. X) the labeled process (unlabeled process). Then the relation between the labeled process $\mathbf{X}$ in Theorem 2.1 and the unlabeled process X in Theorem 2.5 is that $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathrm{path}}(\mathbf{X}) = \mathsf{X}$ for a suitable version of the processes with quasi-everywhere starting points. This identity is a corollary of [16, Theorem 2.4].

3. Proof of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.2. For this we will use Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4, and a result from [17] in a reduced form being sufficient for the present problem.

Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_t^i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a labeled process as before. For $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$, the process $(X_t^1, \dots, X_t^k, \sum_{j>k}^{\infty} \delta_{X_t^j})$ is said to be a k-labeled process. When $k = 0$, the k-labeled process equals the unlabeled process $\mathfrak{u}_{\mathrm{path}}(\mathbf{X})$.

We introduce Dirichlet forms describing the k-labeled process. For a subset $A \subset S$ we define the map $\pi_A : \mathsf{S} \to \mathsf{S}$ by $\pi_A(\mathsf{s}) = \mathsf{s}(A \cap \cdot)$. We say a function $f : \mathsf{S} \to \mathbb{R}$ is local if f is $\sigma[\pi_A]$-measurable for some compact set $A \subset S$. We say f is smooth if $\tilde{f}$ is smooth, where $\tilde{f}((s_i))$ is the permutation invariant function in (s_i) such that $f(\mathsf{s}) = \tilde{f}((s_i))$ for $\mathsf{s} = \sum_i \delta_{s_i}$.

Let $\mathcal{D}_\circ$ be the set of all local, smooth functions on S. For $f, g \in \mathcal{D}_\circ$ we set $\mathbb{D}[f, g] : \mathsf{S} \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\mathbb{D}[f, g](\mathsf{s}) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \frac{\partial \widetilde{f}(\mathbf{s})}{\partial s_i} \frac{\partial \widetilde{g}(\mathbf{s})}{\partial s_i}. \tag{3.1}$$

Here $\mathsf{s} = \sum_i \delta_{s_i}$ and $\mathbf{s} = (s_i)$. For given f and g in $\mathcal{D}_\circ$, it is easy to see that the right-hand side of (3.1) depends only on s. So $\mathbb{D}[f,g]$ is well defined. For $f, g \in C_0^\infty(S^k) \otimes \mathcal{D}_\circ$ let $\nabla^k[f,g]$ be the function on $S^k \times \mathsf{S}$ defined by

$$\nabla^k[f,g](\mathbf{x},\mathsf{s}) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{k} \frac{\partial f(\mathbf{x},\mathsf{s})}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial g(\mathbf{x},\mathsf{s})}{\partial x_j}. \tag{3.2}$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_j) \in S^k$. We set $\mathbb{D}^k$ for $k \geq 1$ by

$$\mathbb{D}^k[f,g](\mathbf{x},\mathsf{s}) = \nabla^k[f,g](\mathbf{x},\mathsf{s}) + \mathbb{D}[f(\mathbf{x},\cdot), g(\mathbf{x},\cdot)](\mathsf{s}). \tag{3.3}$$

Let $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{[k]}}, \mathcal{D}_\circ^{\mu^{[k]}})$ be the bilinear form defined by

$$\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{[k]}}(f,g) = \int_{S^k \times \mathsf{S}} \mathbb{D}^k[f,g] d\mu^{[k]}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$\mathcal{D}_\circ^{\mu^{[k]}} = \{f \in C_0^\infty(S^k) \otimes \mathcal{D}_\circ \cap L^2(S^k \times \mathsf{S}, \mu^{[k]})\,;\ \mathcal{E}^{\mu^{[k]}}(f,f) < \infty\}. \tag{3.5}$$

When $k = 0$, we take $\mathbb{D}^0 = \mathbb{D}$, $\mu^0 = \mu$, and $\mathcal{E}^\mu = \mathcal{E}$. We set $L^2(\mu) = L^2(\mathsf{S}, \mu)$ and $L^2(\mu^{[k]}) = L^2(S^k \times \mathsf{S}, \mu^{[k]})$ and so on.

We assume that there exists a probability measure μ on S with correlation functions $\{\rho^k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfying (**A.1**)–(**A.5**):
(**A.1**) ρ^k is locally bounded for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
(**A.2**) There exists a logarithmic derivative d^μ in the sense of (2.11).
(**A.3**) $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{[k]}}, \mathcal{D}_\circ^{\mu^{[k]}})$ is closable on $L^2(\mu^{[k]})$ for each $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$.
(**A.4**) $\mathrm{Cap}^\mu(\{\mathsf{S}_{\mathrm{s.i.}}\}^c) = 0$.
(**A.5**) There exists a $T > 0$ such that for each $R > 0$

$$\liminf_{r\to\infty} \left(\{\int_{|x|\leq r+R} \rho^1(x)dx\}\{\int_{\frac{r}{\sqrt{(r+R)T}}}^{\infty} e^{-u^2/2}du\}\right) = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Let $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{[k]}}, \mathcal{D}^{\mu^{[k]}})$ be the closure of $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{[k]}}, \mathcal{D}_\circ^{\mu^{[k]}})$ on $L^2(\mu^{[k]})$. It is known [16, Lemma 2.3] that $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu^{[k]}}, \mathcal{D}^{\mu^{[k]}})$ is quasi-regular and that the associated diffusion $(\mathsf{P}^k, \mathsf{X}^k)$ exists. We refer to [11] for the definition and necessary background of quasi-regular Dirichlet forms. We remark that Cap^μ in (**A.4**) is the capacity of the Dirichlet space $(\mathcal{E}^\mu, \mathcal{D}^\mu, L^2(\mu))$.

The assumptions (**A.4**) and (**A.5**) have clear dynamical interpretations. Indeed, (**A.4**) means that particles never collide with each other. Moreover, (**A.5**) means that no labeled particle ever explodes [16].

We quote two theorems from [17].

Theorem 3.1 ([17, Theorem 26])**.** *Assume* (**A.1**)–(**A.5**)*. Then there exists an* S_0 *such that*

$$\mu(\mathsf{S}_0) = 1, \quad \mathsf{S}_0 \subset \mathsf{S}_{\text{s.i.}}, \tag{3.7}$$

and that, for all $\mathbf{s} \in \mathfrak{u}^{-1}(\mathsf{S}_0)$*, there exists an* $S^{\mathbb{N}}$*-valued continuous process* $\mathbf{X} = (X^i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$*, and* $(\mathbb{R})^{\mathbb{N}}$*-valued Brownian motion* $\mathbf{B} = (B^i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ *satisfying*

$$dX_t^i = dB_t^i + \frac{1}{2}\mathsf{d}^\mu(X_t^i, \mathsf{X}_t^{i*})dt \quad (i \in \mathbb{N}), \tag{3.8}$$

$$\mathbf{X}_0 = \mathbf{s}. \tag{3.9}$$

Moreover, $\mathbf{X}$ *satisfies*

$$P(\mathfrak{u}(\mathbf{X}_t) \in \mathsf{S}_0,\ 0 \le \forall t < \infty) = 1. \tag{3.10}$$

Theorem 3.2 ([17, Theorem 27])**.** *Let* $\mathbf{S}_0$ *be the subset of* $S^{\mathbb{N}}$ *defined by* $\mathbf{S}_0 = \mathfrak{u}^{-1}(\mathsf{S}_0)$*. Let* $\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{s}$ *be the distribution of* $\mathbf{X}$ *given by Theorem 3.1. Then* $\{\mathbf{P}_\mathbf{s}\}_{\mathbf{s}\in\mathbf{S}_0}$ *is a diffusion with state space* $\mathbf{S}_0$*.*

We take $\mu = \mu_\alpha$. Then the assumptions (**A.1**), (**A.4**), and (**A.5**) are easily checked as we see in the next lemma.

Lemma 3.3. μ_α *satisfy* (**A.1**)*,* (**A.4**)*, and* (**A.5**)*.*

Proof. (**A.1**) and (**A.5**) are clear because the correlation functions $\{\rho_\alpha^n\}$ of μ_α are given by the equation (1.1) and the kernels $\mathsf{K}_\alpha^\mathsf{n}$ are locally bounded in $(0,\infty)$ and bounded in $[1,\infty)$. (**A.4**) follows from [15, Theorem 2.1] because the kernel $\mathsf{K}_\alpha^\mathsf{n}$ is locally Lipschitz continuous. □

We next deduce Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 from Theorems 2.3 and 2.4.

Proof of Theorem 2.1 (1) *and Theorem 2.2.* We will use Theorems 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 to prove Theorem 2.1 (1) and Theorem 2.2. For this we check the assumptions (**A.1**)–(**A.5**) with a help of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4.

The assumption (**A.2**) follows from Theorem 2.3. From Lemma 3.3 we have already known that μ_α satisfy (**A.1**), (**A.4**), and (**A.5**).

From Theorem 2.4 we see that μ_α are quasi-Gibbssian with continuous potentials. In [18, Lermma 3.6], it was proved that, when potentials are upper semi-continuous, the closability in (**A.3**) for $k = 0$ follows from the quasi-Gibbs property. Then we have (**A.3**) for $k = 0$. The closability for

general $k \geq 1$ also follows from the quasi-Gibbs property of μ_α in a similar fashion. Hence we obtain (**A.3**) for μ_α.

We have thus seen that the assumptions (**A.1**)–(**A.5**) are fulfilled. Hence, Theorem 2.1 (1) and Theorem 2.2 follows from Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, respectively. □

We next prove (2) of Theorem 2.1 using a result in [22].

Proof of Theorem 2.1 (2). We deduce Theorem 2.1 (2) from Theorem 9.3 in [22]. We check the assumptions in [22, Theorem 9.3]. These are labeled in [22] as follows: **(A1)**–**(A4)**, **(A5')**, **(A8')**, **(A9)**, **(E1)**, **(F1)**, and **(F2)**.

We see that **(A3)**, **(A1)**, **(A4)**, and **(A5')** in [22] follow from **(A.1)**, **(A.2)**, **(A.4)**, and **(A.5)** in the present paper, respectively. We deduce **(A2)** in [22] from Theorem 2.4 immediately. **(A8')** follows from (1.3). Assumption **(A9)** in [22] asserts that μ_α is tail trivial. This was proved in [20].

For $\mathsf{k}, r \in \mathbb{N}$, let $a_{\mathsf{k}}(r) = \mathsf{k}\sqrt{r}$. Set $a_{\mathsf{k}} = \{a_{\mathsf{k}}(r)\}_{r\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\mathbf{a} = \{a_{\mathsf{k}}\}_{\mathsf{k}\in\mathbb{N}}$. Let $\mathsf{K}[\mathbf{a}] = \cup_{r=1}^{\infty}\mathsf{K}[a_{\mathsf{k}}]$, where $\mathsf{K}[a_{\mathsf{k}}] = \{\mathsf{s}; \mathsf{s}(S_r) \leq a_{\mathsf{k}}(r) \text{ for all } r \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We then deduce from (1.3) that

$$\mu_\alpha(\mathsf{K}[\mathbf{a}]) = 1.$$

This corresponds to **(E1)** in [22]. Assumptions **(F1)** and **(F2)** depend on a nonnegative integer ℓ in [22, Theorem 9.3]. We take $\ell = 1$ here. We can easily check **(F1)** and **(F2)** by a straightforward calculation. Indeed, σ and b in **(F1)** and **(F2)** in [22] become $\sigma = 1$ and $b = 2\mathsf{d}^{\mu_\alpha}$. Hence, $\partial_x\sigma = 0$ and, from Theorem 2.3,

$$\partial_x b(x, \mathsf{s}) = -\frac{2\alpha}{x^2} - \sum_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \frac{4}{(x - s_i)^2}.$$

This implies **(F1)** and **(F2)** immediately. We thus complete the proof. □

In the rest of the paper we devote to the proof of Theorems 2.3 and 2.4.

4. Logarithmic derivative of random point fields.

Let μ be a probability measure on S with locally bounded n-point correlation function ρ^n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mu^{[1]}$ be the measure defined by (2.10) with $k = 1$. In this section we present a sufficient condition for the existence of the logarithmic derivative d^μ in $L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mu^{[1]})$.

Let $S_r = \{x \in S\,;\, |x| < r\}$ and S_r^n denote the n-product of S_r. Here and after, $\cdot^n$ denotes the n-product of the set $\cdot$. Let $\{\mu^{\mathsf{n}}\}$ be a sequence of probability measures on S. We assume that their n-point correlation functions $\{\rho^{\mathsf{n},n}\}$ satisfy for each $r \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\lim_{\mathsf{n}\to\infty} \rho^{\mathsf{n},n}(\mathbf{x}) = \rho^n(\mathbf{x}) \quad \text{uniformly on } S_r^n, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\sup_{\mathsf{n}\in\mathbb{N}} \sup_{\mathbf{x}\in S_r^n} \rho^{\mathsf{n},n}(\mathbf{x}) \le c_2^{-n} n^c 3^n, \tag{4.2}$$

where $0 < c_2(r) < \infty$ and $0 < c_3(r) < 1$ are constants independent of $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $g : S^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be measurable functions. For $(x, \mathsf{y}) \in S \times \mathsf{S}$ and $s > 0$ we set

$$\mathfrak{g}_s(x, \mathsf{y}) = \sum_{|x-y_i|<s} g(x, y_i), \quad w_s(x, \mathsf{y}) = \sum_{s\le|x-y_i|} g(x, y_i), \tag{4.3}$$

where $\mathsf{y} = \sum_i \delta_{y_i}$. As for w_s, we define only for y such that $\mathsf{y}(S) < \infty$ in order to make the sum $w_s(x, \mathsf{y}) = \sum_{s\le|x-y_i|} g(x, y_i)$ finite. We note that $\mathfrak{g}_s + w_s$ are independent of s.

Let $u, u^{\mathsf{n}} : S \to \mathbb{R}$ and $1 < \hat{p} < \infty$. Assume that μ^{n} has a logarithmic derivative d^{n} for each n satisfying the following.

$$\mathsf{d}^{\mathsf{n}}(x, \mathsf{y}) = u^{\mathsf{n}}(x) + \mathfrak{g}_s(x, \mathsf{y}) + w_s(x, \mathsf{y}), \tag{4.4}$$

$$\lim_{\mathsf{n}\to\infty} u^{\mathsf{n}} = u \quad \text{in } L^{\hat{p}}_{\mathrm{loc}}(S, dx), \tag{4.5}$$

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \limsup_{\mathsf{n}\to\infty} \int_{S_r\times\mathsf{S}} |w_s(x, \mathsf{y})|^{\hat{p}} d\mu^{\mathsf{n},[1]} = 0. \tag{4.6}$$

We quote:

Theorem 4.1 ([17, Theorem 45])**.** *Let $1 < p < \hat{p}$. Assume* (4.1)–(4.6)*. Then the logarithmic derivative d^{μ} exists in $L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mu^{[1]})$ and is given by*

$$\mathsf{d}^{\mu}(x, \mathsf{y}) = u(x) + \lim_{s\to\infty} \mathsf{g}_s(x, \mathsf{y}). \tag{4.7}$$

The convergence $\lim \mathsf{g}_s$ *takes place in $L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mu^{[1]})$.*

Remark 4.1. Theorem 4.1 is a special case of [17, Theorem 45]. In [17, Theorem 45] extra terms such as v^{n} and $w(x)$ appeared. These terms are vanished here. However, this is not the case for the Ginibre random point field and the Airy random point fields.

In practice, to check the condition (4.6) is the most hard part of the proof. So we quote a sufficient condition for this in terms of correlation functions.

Lemma 4.2. *We set* $S^x_{s\infty} = \{y \in S; s \le |x-y| < \infty\}$. *Let* $\rho^{\mathsf{n},n}_x$ *be the* n*-point correlation function of the reduced Palm measure* μ^{n}_x. *Then* (4.6) *with* $\hat{p} = 2$ *follows from the following:*

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \limsup_{\mathsf{n}\to\infty} \sup_{x\in S_r} \Big| \int_{S^x_{s\infty}} g(x,y)\rho^{\mathsf{n},1}(y)dy\Big| = 0, \tag{4.8}$$

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \limsup_{\mathsf{n}\to\infty} \sup_{x\in S_r} \Big| \int_{S^x_{s\infty}} g(x,y)\{\rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_x(y) - \rho^{\mathsf{n},1}(y)\}dy\Big| = 0, \tag{4.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{s\to\infty} \limsup_{\mathsf{n}\to\infty} \sup_{x\in S_r} \Big| &\int_{S^x_{s\infty}} |g(x,y)|^2\rho^{\mathsf{n},1}(y)dy \\ &- \int_{(S^x_{s\infty})^2} g(x,y)\cdot g(x,z)\rho^{\mathsf{n},2}(y,z)dydz\Big| = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{s\to\infty} \limsup_{\mathsf{n}\to\infty} \sup_{x\in S_r} \Big| &\int_{S^x_{s\infty}} |g(x,y)|^2\{\rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_x(y) - \rho^{\mathsf{n},1}(y)\}dy \\ &- \int_{(S^x_{s\infty})^2} g(x,y)\cdot g(x,z)\{\rho^{\mathsf{n},2}_x(y,z) - \rho^{\mathsf{n},2}(y,z)\}dydz\Big| = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Proof. Lemma 4.2 is a special case of [17, Lemma 52]. □

5. Finite particle approximations and proof of Theorem 2.3

In this section, we prove Theorem 2.3. For this we use Theorem 4.1. We will check the assumptions (4.1)–(4.6) posed in Theorem 4.1.

We begin by giving finite particle approximations for Bessel random point fields. Let $\{L^{[\alpha]}_n\}$ denote the Laguerre polynomials. Then by definition

$$L^{[\alpha]}_n(x) = \sum_{m=0}^{n} (-1)^m \binom{n+\alpha}{n-m} \frac{x^m}{m!}. \tag{5.1}$$

The associated monic polynomials $\{p^{[\alpha]}_n\}$ are given by

$$p^{[\alpha]}_n(x) = (-1)^n n! L^{[\alpha]}_n(x) = (-1)^n \Gamma(n+1) L^{[\alpha]}_n(x). \tag{5.2}$$

Let $\mathsf{w}_\alpha(x) = x^\alpha e^{-x}$. Then it is known [24, 301p, 302p] that for $m, n \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$

$$\int_0^\infty L_m^{[\alpha]}(x) L_n^{[\alpha]}(x)\, \mathsf{w}_\alpha(x) dx = \delta_{m,n} \frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+1)}. \tag{5.3}$$

From (5.2) and (5.3) we immediately deduce that

$$\int_0^\infty p_{\mathsf{n}-1}^{[\alpha]}(x)^2\, \mathsf{w}_\alpha(x) dx = \Gamma(\mathsf{n}+\alpha)\Gamma(\mathsf{n}). \tag{5.4}$$

Let $\mathsf{k}_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}} : (0,\infty)^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ be such that

$$\mathsf{k}_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}(x,y) = \sqrt{\mathsf{w}_\alpha(x)\mathsf{w}_\alpha(y)} \sum_{m=0}^{\mathsf{n}-1} \frac{p_m^{[\alpha]}(x) p_m^{[\alpha]}(y)}{\int_0^\infty p_m^{[\alpha]}(z)^2 \mathsf{w}_\alpha(z) dz}. \tag{5.5}$$

Then we deduce from the Christoffel-Darboux formula [3, Proposition 5.1.3.] that for $x \neq y$

$$\mathsf{k}_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}(x,y) = \frac{\sqrt{\mathsf{w}_\alpha(x)\mathsf{w}_\alpha(y)}}{\int_0^\infty p_{\mathsf{n}-1}^{[\alpha]}(z)^2 \mathsf{w}_\alpha(z) dz} \frac{p_{\mathsf{n}}^{[\alpha]}(x) p_{\mathsf{n}-1}^{[\alpha]}(y) - p_{\mathsf{n}-1}^{[\alpha]}(x) p_{\mathsf{n}}^{[\alpha]}(y)}{x-y}. \tag{5.6}$$

From (5.2)–(5.4) combined with a straightforward calculation, we obtain that

$$\mathsf{k}_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}(x,y) = \sqrt{\mathsf{w}_\alpha(x)\mathsf{w}_\alpha(y)} \frac{\Gamma(\mathsf{n}+1)}{\Gamma(\mathsf{n}+\alpha)} \frac{L_{\mathsf{n}-1}^{[\alpha]}(x) L_{\mathsf{n}}^{[\alpha]}(y) - L_{\mathsf{n}}^{[\alpha]}(x) L_{\mathsf{n}-1}^{[\alpha]}(y)}{x-y}. \tag{5.7}$$

We now introduce the rescaled kernels $\mathsf{K}_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}$ as follows.

$$\mathsf{K}_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}(x,y) = \frac{1}{4\mathsf{n}} \mathsf{k}_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}\left(\frac{x}{4\mathsf{n}}, \frac{y}{4\mathsf{n}}\right). \tag{5.8}$$

Let μ_α^{n} be the determinantal random point field over $(0,\infty)$ generated by $(\mathsf{K}_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}, dx)$. Then by construction the n-point correlation functions of μ_α^{n} are given by

$$\rho_\alpha^{\mathsf{n},n}(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = \det[\mathsf{K}_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}(x_i, x_j)]_{i,j=1,\ldots,n}. \tag{5.9}$$

It is known that $\mu_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}(\mathsf{s}(S) = \mathsf{n}) = 1$, and so the labeled density function of μ_α^{n} is $\rho_\alpha^{\mathsf{n},\mathsf{n}}$ up to the normalizing constant. Moreover, by the standard theory

of the random matrix, we obtain, because of the calculation of Vandermond determinant,

$$\rho_\alpha^{\mathsf{n},\mathsf{n}}(x_1,\dots,x_{\mathsf{n}}) = c_{\mathsf{n}} e^{-\sum_{i=1}^{\mathsf{n}} x_i/4\mathsf{n}} \prod_{j=1}^{\mathsf{n}} x_j^\alpha \prod_{k<l}^{\mathsf{n}} |x_k - x_l|^2 \tag{5.10}$$

with the normalizing constant c_{n} [3]. We easily deduce from (5.10) that the logarithmic derivative $\mathsf{d}^{\mu_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}}$ of μ_α^{n} are given by

$$\mathsf{d}^{\mu_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}}(x,\mathsf{y}) = -\frac{1}{4\mathsf{n}} + \frac{\alpha}{x} + \sum_{i=1}^{\mathsf{n}-1} \frac{2}{x-y_i}. \tag{5.11}$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let $1 \le \alpha < \infty$. Then $\{\mu_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}\}$ satisfy (4.1)–(4.5) with*

$$u^{\mathsf{n}}(x) = -\frac{1}{4\mathsf{n}} + \frac{\alpha}{x}, \quad g(x,y) = \frac{2}{x-y}. \tag{5.12}$$

Proof. It is known ([3, 290p]) that, for each $(x,y) \in (0,\infty)^2$,

$$\lim_{\mathsf{n}\to\infty} \mathsf{K}_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}(x,y) = \mathsf{K}_\alpha(x,y). \tag{5.13}$$

Furthermore, one can easily see that the convergence takes place compact uniformly in $(x,y) \in [0,\infty)^2$. We deduce (4.1) from (5.9) and (5.13) immediately.

The condition (4.2) follows from (5.9) and (5.13). In fact, from (5.13) and the definition (5.8) of the kernel $\mathsf{K}_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}$, we deduce that the norm $\mathsf{k}_{\alpha,i}^{\mathsf{n},n}(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ of the ith row vector of the matrix $[\mathsf{K}_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}(x_i,x_j)]_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ satisfies the following inequality.

$$\sup_{(x_1,\dots,x_n)\in S_r^n} \mathsf{k}_{\alpha,i}^{\mathsf{n},n}(x_1,\dots,x_n) \le c_4 n^{1/2}. \tag{5.14}$$

Here $c_4 = c_4(r)$ is a positive constant independent of n and n. Hence from Hadamard's inequality we deduce that

$$\sup_{(x_1,\dots,x_n)\in S_r^n} |\det[\mathsf{K}_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}(x_i,x_j)]_{i,j=1,\dots,n}| \le c_4^n n^{n/2}. \tag{5.15}$$

The conditions (4.3)–(4.5) are obvious from construction and (5.11). □

By Lemma 5.1, it only remains to prove (4.6). Taking Lemma 4.2 into account, we will deduce (4.6) from (4.8)–(4.11). The key point of this is the estimate (5.17) in Lemma 5.3, which control the 1-point correlation functions $\rho_\alpha^{\mathsf{n},1}$ of μ_α. To prove (5.17) we prepare a bound of $\rho_\alpha^{\mathsf{n},1}$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\alpha > -1$ and $\omega > 1$. Then for all $\mathsf{n} \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\rho_\alpha^{\mathsf{n},1}(x) \le \frac{c_5}{\sqrt{x}} \quad \text{for } 1 \le x \le 4\mathsf{n}\omega. \tag{5.16}$$

Here $c_5 = c_5(\alpha, \omega)$ is a positive constant independent of x and n.

This lemma follows from an asymptotic formula of Hilb's type from [29, Theorem 8.22.4, 199 p.]. Since the proof is long, although straightforward, we postpone it in Appendix (Section 7).

The next result is the most significant step of the proof.

Lemma 5.3. *The condition* (4.8) *is satisfied. Furthermore, it holds that*

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \limsup_{\mathsf{n}\to\infty} \sup_{x\in S_r} \int_{S_{s\infty}^x} \frac{1}{|x-y|} \rho_\alpha^{\mathsf{n},1}(y)dy = 0. \tag{5.17}$$

Proof. Since (4.8) follows from (5.17), we only prove (5.17) . We divide $S_{s\infty}^x$ into two parts $S_{s\infty}^x \cap [s, \omega\mathsf{n}]$ and $S_{s\infty}^x \cap [\omega\mathsf{n}, \infty)$, where ω is a positive constant.

We begin by the first case $S_{s\infty}^x \cap [s, \omega\mathsf{n}]$. From (5.16) we deduce that

$$\sup_{\mathsf{n}\in\mathbb{N}} \sup_{x\in S_r} \int_{S_{s\infty}^x \cap [s,\omega\mathsf{n}]} \frac{\rho_\alpha^{\mathsf{n},1}(y)}{|x-y|} dy \le \sup_{x\in S_r} \int_{S_{s\infty}^x} \frac{c_5}{|x-y|\sqrt{|y|}} dy \to 0 \tag{5.18}$$

as $s \to \infty$. As for the second case $S_{s\infty}^x \cap [\omega\mathsf{n}, \infty)$, we see that for $r < \omega\mathsf{n}$

$$\sup_{x\in S_r} \int_{S_{s\infty}^x \cap [\omega\mathsf{n},\infty)} \frac{\rho_\alpha^{\mathsf{n},1}(y)}{|x-y|} dy \le \frac{1}{\mathsf{n}\omega - r} \int_0^\infty \rho_\alpha^{\mathsf{n},1}(y)dy = \frac{\mathsf{n}}{\mathsf{n}\omega - r}. \tag{5.19}$$

Then we deduce from (5.19) that

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \limsup_{\mathsf{n}\to\infty} \sup_{x\in S_r} \int_{S_{s\infty}^x \cap [\omega\mathsf{n},\infty)} \frac{\rho_\alpha^{\mathsf{n},1}(y)}{|x-y|} dy \le \frac{1}{\omega}. \tag{5.20}$$

Combining (5.18) and (5.20), we deduce that

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \limsup_{\mathsf{n}\to\infty} \sup_{x\in S_r} \int_{S_{s\infty}^x} \frac{\rho_\alpha^{\mathsf{n},1}(y)}{|x-y|} dy \le \frac{1}{\omega}. \tag{5.21}$$

Taking $\omega > 0$ to be arbitrary large in (5.21) yields (5.17). □

We next prepare two properties (5.22) and (5.23) of determinantal kernels. We will repeatedly use these in the sequel. Let $\mu^{\mathsf{n}}_{\alpha,x}$ be the reduced Palm measure of $\mu^{\mathsf{n}}_{\alpha}$ conditioned at x and let $\rho^{\mathsf{n},n}_{\alpha,x}$ be its n-point correlation function as before. Then $\mu^{\mathsf{n}}_{\alpha,x}$ has a determinantal structure with kernel

$$\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_{\alpha,x}(y,z) = \mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_{\alpha}(y,z) - \frac{\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_{\alpha}(y,x)\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_{\alpha}(x,z)}{\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_{\alpha}(x,x)}. \tag{5.22}$$

This relation follows from a general theorem on determinantal random point fields [26, Theorem 1.7]. Applying the Schwarz inequality to (5.5), we deduce from (5.8) that

$$|\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_{\alpha}(x,y)| \le \sqrt{\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_{\alpha}(x,x)}\sqrt{\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_{\alpha}(y,y)} = \sqrt{\rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_{\alpha}(x)}\sqrt{\rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_{\alpha}(y)}. \tag{5.23}$$

Here the equality in (5.23) follows from (5.9) with $n = 1$.

Lemma 5.4. *The condition* (4.9) *is satisfied. Furthermore, it holds that*

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \limsup_{\mathsf{n}\to\infty} \sup_{x\in S_r} \int_{S^x_{s\infty}} \frac{|\rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_{\alpha,x}(y) - \rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_{\alpha}(y)|}{|x-y|} dy = 0. \tag{5.24}$$

Proof. From (1.1), (5.22), and (5.23), we deduce that

$$|\rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_{\alpha,x}(y) - \rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_{\alpha}(y)| = |\frac{\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_{\alpha}(y,x)\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_{\alpha}(x,y)}{\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_{\alpha}(x,x)}| \le \mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_{\alpha}(y,y) = \rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_{\alpha}(y). \tag{5.25}$$

Hence (5.24) is immediate from (5.17). The condition (4.9) follows from (5.24) immediately. □

Lemma 5.5. *The condition* (4.10) *is satisfied. Furthermore, it holds that*

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \limsup_{\mathsf{n}\to\infty} \sup_{x\in S_r} \int_{S^x_{s\infty}} \frac{\rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_{\alpha}(y)}{|x-y|^2} dy + \int_{(S^x_{s\infty})^2} \frac{\rho^{\mathsf{n},2}_{\alpha}(y,z)}{|x-y||x-z|} dydz = 0. \tag{5.26}$$

Proof. From Lemma 5.3 we easily deduce that

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \limsup_{\mathsf{n}\to\infty} \sup_{x\in S_r} \int_{S^x_{s\infty}} \frac{\rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_{\alpha}(y)}{|x-y|^2} dy = 0. \tag{5.27}$$

From (5.9) and (5.23) we see that

$$\rho^{\mathsf{n},2}_{\alpha}(y,z) = \rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_{\alpha}(y)\rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_{\alpha}(z) - \mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_{\alpha}(y,z)\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_{\alpha}(z,y) \le 2\rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_{\alpha}(y)\rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_{\alpha}(z). \tag{5.28}$$

Hence from (5.28) and Fubini's theorem, we deduce that

$$\int_{(S^x_{s\infty})^2} \frac{\rho^{\mathsf{n},2}_\alpha(y,z)}{|x-y||x-z|}dydz \le \int_{(S^x_{s\infty})^2} \frac{2\rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_\alpha(y)\rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_\alpha(z)}{|x-y||x-z|}dydz \tag{5.29}$$
$$\le 2\,(\int_{S^x_{s\infty}} \frac{\rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_\alpha(y)}{|x-y|}dy)^2.$$

Then from (5.29) and Lemma 5.3 we deduce that

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \limsup_{\mathsf{n}\to\infty} \sup_{x\in S_r} \int_{(S^x_{s\infty})^2} \frac{\rho^{\mathsf{n},2}_\alpha(y,z)}{|x-y||x-z|}dydz = 0. \tag{5.30}$$

From (5.27) and (5.30), we conclude (5.26). This implies (4.10). □

Lemma 5.6. *The condition* (4.11) *is satisfied. Furthermore, it holds that*

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \limsup_{\mathsf{n}\to\infty} \sup_{x\in S_r} \int_{S^x_{s\infty}} \frac{|\rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_{\alpha,x}(y) - \rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_\alpha(y)|}{|x-y|^2}dy \tag{5.31}$$
$$+ \int_{(S^x_{s\infty})^2} \frac{|\rho^{\mathsf{n},2}_{\alpha,x}(y,z) - \rho^{\mathsf{n},2}_\alpha(y,z)|}{|x-y||x-z|}dydz = 0.$$

Proof. We deduce from Lemma 5.4 that

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \limsup_{\mathsf{n}\to\infty} \sup_{x\in S_r} \int_{S^x_{s\infty}} \frac{|\rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_x(y) - \rho^{\mathsf{n},1}(y)|}{|x-y|^2}dy = 0. \tag{5.32}$$

To estimate the second term of (5.31) we observe that

$$\rho^{\mathsf{n},2}_{\alpha,x}(y,z) - \rho^{\mathsf{n},2}_\alpha(y,z) \tag{5.33}$$
$$= -\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_\alpha(y,x)\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_\alpha(x,y)\frac{\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_\alpha(z,z)}{\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_\alpha(x,x)} - \mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_\alpha(z,x)\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_\alpha(x,z)\frac{\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_\alpha(y,y)}{\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_\alpha(x,x)}$$
$$+ \mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_\alpha(y,x)\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_\alpha(x,z)\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_\alpha(z,y)\frac{1}{\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_\alpha(x,x)} + \mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_\alpha(y,z)\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_\alpha(z,x)\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_\alpha(x,y)\frac{1}{\mathsf{K}^{\mathsf{n}}_\alpha(x,x)}.$$

Then applying (5.23) to each term of the right-hand side, we obtain

$$|\rho^{\mathsf{n},2}_{\alpha,x}(y,z) - \rho^{\mathsf{n},2}_\alpha(y,z)| \le 4\rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_\alpha(y)\rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_\alpha(z). \tag{5.34}$$

Hence from (5.34) and Fubini's theorem, we deduce that

$$\int_{(S^x_{s\infty})^2} \frac{|\rho^{\mathsf{n},2}_{\alpha,x}(y,z) - \rho^{\mathsf{n},2}_{\alpha}(y,z)|}{|x-y||x-z|} dydz \le \int_{(S^x_{s\infty})^2} \frac{4\rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_{\alpha}(y)\rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_{\alpha}(z)}{|x-y||x-z|} dydz \tag{5.35}$$
$$= 4 \left\{ \int_{S^x_{s\infty}} \frac{\rho^{\mathsf{n},1}_{\alpha}(y)}{|x-y|} dy \right\}^2.$$

Then from (5.35) and Lemma 5.3, we see that

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \limsup_{\mathsf{n}\to\infty} \sup_{x\in S_r} \int_{(S^x_{s\infty})^2} \frac{|\rho^{\mathsf{n},2}_{\alpha,x}(y,z) - \rho^{\mathsf{n},2}_{\alpha}(y,z)|}{|x-y||x-z|} dydz = 0. \tag{5.36}$$

From (5.32) and (5.36), we obtain (5.31). This implies (4.11). □

Proof of Theorems 2.3. From Lemma 5.1 we see that μ_α satisfy (4.1)–(4.5). From Lemma 5.3–Lemma 5.6, we deduce that μ_α satisfy (4.8)–(4.11). This combined with Lemma 4.2 yields (4.6). We thus see that all the conditions (4.1)–(4.6) of Theorem 4.1 are fulfilled. Hence from Theorem 4.1, we obtain Theorem 2.3 with the logarithmic derivative d given by (4.7). □

6. Proof of Theorem 2.4

In this section we prove Theorem 2.4. For this we use [19, Theorem 2.2]. We prepare a result from [19], which is a special case of [19, Theorem 2.2].

In the next theorem, we take $S = [0,\infty)$ or $S = \mathbb{R}$. Let μ be a random point field on S. We assume three conditions.

(**B.1**) The random point field μ has a locally bounded, n-point correlation function ρ^n for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(**B.2**) There exists a sequence of random point fields $\{\mu^{\mathsf{n}}\}_{\mathsf{n}\in\mathbb{N}}$ over S satisfying the following.
(1) The n-point correlation functions $\rho^{\mathsf{n},n}$ of μ^{n} satisfy

$$\lim_{\mathsf{n}\to\infty} \rho^{\mathsf{n},n}(\mathbf{x}_n) = \rho^n(\mathbf{x}_n) \quad \text{a.e.} \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{6.1}$$
$$\sup\{\rho^{\mathsf{n},n}(\mathbf{x}_n); \mathsf{n} \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbf{x}_n \in S^n_r\} \le \{c_6 n^{c}7\}^n \quad \text{for all } n, r \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{6.2}$$

where $\mathbf{x}_n = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S^n$, $c_6 = c_6(r) > 0$, and $c_7 = c_7(r) < 1$ are constants depending on $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

(2) $\mu^{\mathsf{n}}(\mathsf{s}(S) = N_{\mathsf{n}}) = 1$ for each n, where $N_{\mathsf{n}} \in \mathbb{N}$ are strictly increasing.
(3) μ^{n} is a $(\Phi^{\mathsf{n}}, -\beta \log|x-y|)$-canonical Gibbs measure for each n.
(4) Φ^{n} satisfy the following.

$$\lim_{\mathsf{n}\to\infty} \Phi^{\mathsf{n}}(x) = \Phi(x) \text{ for a.e. } x, \quad \inf_{\mathsf{n}\in\mathbb{N}} \inf_{x\in S} \Phi^{\mathsf{n}}(x) > -\infty. \tag{6.3}$$

Let $\mathsf{x} = \sum_i \delta_{x_i}$. For $1 \le r < s \le \infty$ let $\mathsf{v}_{\ell,rs} : \mathsf{S} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mathsf{v}_{\ell,rs}(\mathsf{x}) = \beta\Big\{ \sum_{x_i \in S_s \setminus S_r} \frac{1}{x_i^{\ell}} \Big\} \quad (\ell \ge 1). \tag{6.4}$$

Note that the sum in (6.4) makes sense for μ^{n}-a.s. x even if $s = \infty$. Indeed, by (2) of (**B.2**), the total number of particles is N_{n} under μ^{n}. Hence, $\mathsf{v}_{\ell,rs}(\mathsf{x})$ is well defined and finite for μ^{n}-a.s. x, for all $\mathsf{n} \in \mathbb{N}$.

(**B.3**) There exists an ℓ_0 such that $\ell_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and that

$$\sup_{\mathsf{n}\in\mathbb{N}} \Big\{ \int_{1\le|x|<\infty} \frac{1}{|x|^{\ell_0}} \, \rho^{\mathsf{n},1}(x) dx \Big\} < \infty \tag{6.5}$$

and that, for each $1 \le \ell < \ell_0$,

$$\lim_{s\to\infty} \sup_{\mathsf{n}\in\mathbb{N}} \| \sup_{\mathsf{n}\in\mathbb{N}} \mathsf{v}_{\ell,s\infty} \|_{L^1(\mathsf{S},\mu^{\mathsf{n}})} = 0. \tag{6.6}$$

When $\ell_0 = 1$, we interpret that (6.6) always holds. The following is a special case of [19, Theorem 2.2]. We remark that the assumptions (**B.1**), (**B.2**) and (**B.3**) correspond to (H.1), (H.2) and (H.4) in [19, Theorem 2.2], respectively.

Theorem 6.1 ([19, Theorem 2.2])**.** *Assume* (**B.1**)*,* (**B.2**) *and* (**B.3**)*. Then μ is a (Φ, Ψ)-quasi-Gibbs measure.*

Proof of Theorem 2.4. We check the assumptions (**B.1**), and (**B.2**), and (**B.3**) in Theorem 6.1. We take $\mu = \mu_\alpha$ and $\mu^{\mathsf{n}} = \mu_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}$. Then (**B.1**) and (**B.2**) are satisfied. Furthermore, we take $\ell_0 = 1$. Then from (1.2) and Lemma 5.3, we deduce (6.5) easily. Hence we conclude Theorem 2.4 from Theorem 6.1. □

7. Appendix: Proof of Lemma 5.2.

In this section we prove Lemma 5.2. Let $-1 < \alpha < \infty$. Let $L_{\mathsf{n}}^{[\alpha]}$ denote the Laguerre polynomial and $\mathsf{w}_\alpha(x) = e^{-x}x^\alpha$ as before. Let

$$M_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}(x) = \{\mathsf{w}_{\alpha+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} L_{\mathsf{n}-1}^{[\alpha+1]}\, \mathsf{w}_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} L_{\mathsf{n}-1}^{[\alpha]} - \mathsf{w}_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} L_{\mathsf{n}}^{[\alpha]}\, \mathsf{w}_{\alpha+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} L_{\mathsf{n}-2}^{[\alpha+1]}\}(x). \tag{7.1}$$

Then from a straightforward calculation we obtain the following.

Lemma 7.1. *There exists a positive constant c_8 such that*

$$\rho_\alpha^{\mathsf{n},1}(y) \le \frac{c_8}{\sqrt{y}}\, \frac{1}{\mathsf{n}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}} M_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}(\frac{y}{4\mathsf{n}}) \tag{7.2}$$

for all $\mathsf{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $y \in (0,\infty)$.

Proof. From (5.8) and (5.9), we see that

$$\rho_\alpha^{\mathsf{n},1}(y) = \mathsf{K}_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}(y,y) = \frac{1}{4\mathsf{n}} \mathsf{k}_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}(\frac{y}{4\mathsf{n}}, \frac{y}{4\mathsf{n}}). \tag{7.3}$$

Hence we will estimate $\mathsf{k}_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}(x,x)$. Taking $y \to x$ in (5.7), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{k}_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}(x,x) &= \mathsf{w}_\alpha(x) \frac{\Gamma(\mathsf{n}+1)}{\Gamma(\mathsf{n}+\alpha)} \{-\frac{dL_{\mathsf{n}}^{[\alpha]}}{dx} L_{\mathsf{n}-1}^{[\alpha]} + L_{\mathsf{n}}^{[\alpha]} \frac{dL_{\mathsf{n}-1}^{[\alpha]}}{dx}\}(x) \qquad (7.4)\\
&= \mathsf{w}_\alpha(x) \frac{\Gamma(\mathsf{n}+1)}{\Gamma(\mathsf{n}+\alpha)} \{L_{\mathsf{n}-1}^{[\alpha+1]} L_{\mathsf{n}-1}^{[\alpha]} - L_{\mathsf{n}}^{[\alpha]} L_{\mathsf{n}-2}^{[\alpha+1]}\}(x)\\
&= \frac{\mathsf{w}_\alpha(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\mathsf{w}_{\alpha+1}(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\Gamma(\mathsf{n}+1)}{\Gamma(\mathsf{n}+\alpha)} \{\mathsf{w}_{\alpha+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} L_{\mathsf{n}-1}^{[\alpha+1]} \mathsf{w}_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} L_{\mathsf{n}-1}^{[\alpha]} - \mathsf{w}_\alpha^{\frac{1}{2}} L_{\mathsf{n}}^{[\alpha]} \mathsf{w}_{\alpha+1}^{\frac{1}{2}} L_{\mathsf{n}-2}^{[\alpha+1]}\}(x)\\
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{x}} \frac{\Gamma(\mathsf{n}+1)}{\Gamma(\mathsf{n}+\alpha)} M_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the formula $\frac{dL_{\mathsf{n}}^{[\alpha]}}{dx} = -L_{\mathsf{n}-1}^{[\alpha+1]}$ (see [29, 102 p]) for the second line, and (7.1) for the last line. Taking $x = \frac{y}{4\mathsf{n}}$ in (7.4), we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{4\mathsf{n}} \mathsf{k}_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}(\frac{y}{4\mathsf{n}}, \frac{y}{4\mathsf{n}}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\mathsf{n}y}} \frac{\Gamma(\mathsf{n}+1)}{\Gamma(\mathsf{n}+\alpha)} M_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}(\frac{y}{4\mathsf{n}}). \tag{7.5}$$

Clearly, there exists a positive constant c_8 such that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\mathsf{n}}} \frac{\Gamma(\mathsf{n}+1)}{\Gamma(\mathsf{n}+\alpha)} \le c_8 \mathsf{n}^{-\alpha+\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{for all } \mathsf{n} \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{7.6}$$

From (7.5) and (7.6) we obtain (7.2). □

From Lemma 7.1, our next task is to prove

$$M_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}(\frac{y}{4\mathsf{n}}) = O(\mathsf{n}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{7.7}$$

Here the bound $O(\mathsf{n}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}})$ is taken to be uniform in $c_9\mathsf{n}^{-1} \le x \le \omega$. For this we quote an asymptotic formula of Hilb's type from [29].

Lemma 7.2 ([29, Theorem 8.22.4, 199 p.])**.** *Let $-1 < \alpha < \infty$. Let c_9, $\omega > 0$ be fixed. Then each Laguerre polynomial $L_{\mathsf{n}}^{[\alpha]}$ satisfies, for all $c_9\mathsf{n}^{-1} \le x \le \omega$,*

$$\mathsf{w}_\alpha(x)^{\frac{1}{2}} L_{\mathsf{n}}^{[\alpha]}(x) = A_{\mathsf{n},\alpha} J_\alpha(\sqrt{4Nx}) + x^{\frac{5}{4}} O(\mathsf{n}^{\alpha/2-\frac{3}{4}}). \tag{7.8}$$

Here $N = \mathsf{n} + (\alpha+1)/2$ and the bound $O(\mathsf{n}^{\alpha/2-\frac{3}{4}})$ holds uniformly in $c_9\mathsf{n}^{-1} \le x \le \omega$. Furthermore, $A_{\mathsf{n},\alpha}$ is defined by

$$A_{\mathsf{n},\alpha} = \frac{\Gamma(\mathsf{n}+1+\alpha)}{N^{\alpha/2}\Gamma(\mathsf{n}+1)}. \tag{7.9}$$

Proof. This lemma follows from (8.22.4) and (8.22.5) in Szegö [29, Theorem 8.22.4, 199 p.] immediately. We remark that we use the first equation of (8.22.5) in Szegö [29, Theorem 8.22.4, 199 p.] as well as (8.22.4) in [29]. □

From Lemma 7.2 we see the following.

Lemma 7.3. *For all $\mathsf{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $c_9\mathsf{n}^{-1} \le x \le \omega$*

$$\begin{aligned} M_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}(\frac{y}{4\mathsf{n}}) &= O(\mathsf{n}^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}}) \qquad (7.10)\\ &\cdot \left[\left\{ J_\alpha((\frac{N-1}{\mathsf{n}}y)^{\frac{1}{2}}) + (\frac{y}{4\mathsf{n}})^{\frac{5}{4}} O(\frac{1}{\mathsf{n}^{\frac{3}{4}}}) \right\} \left\{ J_{\alpha+1}((\frac{N-1}{\mathsf{n}}y)^{\frac{1}{2}}) + (\frac{y}{4\mathsf{n}})^{\frac{5}{4}} O(\frac{1}{\mathsf{n}^{\frac{3}{4}}}) \right\} \right.\\ &\left. - \left\{ J_{\alpha+1}((\frac{N-2}{\mathsf{n}}y)^{\frac{1}{2}}) + (\frac{y}{4\mathsf{n}})^{\frac{5}{4}} O(\frac{1}{\mathsf{n}^{\frac{3}{4}}}) \right\} \left\{ J_\alpha((\frac{N}{\mathsf{n}}y)^{\frac{1}{2}}) + (\frac{y}{4\mathsf{n}})^{\frac{5}{4}} O(\frac{1}{\mathsf{n}^{\frac{3}{4}}}) \right\} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. From (7.8) we easily deduce that, for all $c_9\mathsf{n}^{-1} \le x \le \omega$,

$$\mathsf{w}_\alpha(x)^{\frac{1}{2}} L_{\mathsf{n}}^{[\alpha]}(x) = A_{\mathsf{n},\alpha} \left\{ J_\alpha(\sqrt{4Nx}) + x^{\frac{5}{4}} O(\frac{1}{\mathsf{n}^{\frac{3}{4}}}) \right\}. \tag{7.11}$$

Then taking $x = y/4\mathsf{n}$ in (7.11) we deduce that

$$\mathsf{w}_\alpha(\frac{y}{4\mathsf{n}})^{\frac{1}{2}} L_{\mathsf{n}}^{[\alpha]}(\frac{y}{4\mathsf{n}}) = A_{\mathsf{n},\alpha} \left\{ J_\alpha((\frac{N}{\mathsf{n}}y)^{\frac{1}{2}}) + (\frac{y}{4\mathsf{n}})^{\frac{5}{4}} O(\frac{1}{\mathsf{n}^{\frac{3}{4}}}) \right\}. \tag{7.12}$$

A simple calculation shows that

$$A_{\mathsf{n},\alpha} = O(\mathsf{n}^{\frac{1}{2}\alpha}) \tag{7.13}$$

Hence we deduce (7.10) from (7.1), (7.12) and (7.13) immediately. □

Proof of Lemma 5.2. We will calculate the right-hand side of (7.10).

Recall that $N = \mathsf{n} + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha+1)$. Then $\frac{N}{\mathsf{n}} - \frac{N-1}{\mathsf{n}} = \frac{1}{\mathsf{n}}$. Hence from Taylor expansion of $J_\alpha(\sqrt{y})$ and $J_{\alpha+1}(\sqrt{y})$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
&J_\alpha((\frac{N-1}{\mathsf{n}}y)^{\frac{1}{2}})J_{\alpha+1}((\frac{N-1}{\mathsf{n}}y)^{\frac{1}{2}}) - J_\alpha((\frac{N}{\mathsf{n}}y)^{\frac{1}{2}})J_{\alpha+1}((\frac{N-2}{\mathsf{n}}y)^{\frac{1}{2}}) &(7.14)\\
&= \frac{1}{\mathsf{n}}\left[J_\alpha((\frac{N-1}{\mathsf{n}}y)^{\frac{1}{2}})\,\mathsf{n}\left\{J_{\alpha+1}((\frac{N-1}{\mathsf{n}}y)^{\frac{1}{2}}) - J_{\alpha+1}((\frac{N-2}{\mathsf{n}}y)^{\frac{1}{2}})\right\}\right.\\
&\qquad\left. -\mathsf{n}\left\{J_\alpha((\frac{N}{\mathsf{n}}y)^{\frac{1}{2}}) - J_\alpha((\frac{N-1}{\mathsf{n}}y)^{\frac{1}{2}})\right\}J_{\alpha+1}((\frac{N-2}{\mathsf{n}}y)^{\frac{1}{2}})\right] &(7.15)\\
&= O(\frac{1}{\mathsf{n}})\left[\{\frac{d}{dy}J_\alpha(\sqrt{y})\}\cdot J_{\alpha+1}(\sqrt{y}) - J_\alpha(\sqrt{y})\{\frac{d}{dy}J_{\alpha+1}(\sqrt{y})\}\right]\\
&= O(\frac{1}{\mathsf{n}}).
\end{aligned}$$

We also see that

$$J_\alpha((\frac{N}{\mathsf{n}}y)^{\frac{1}{2}})(\frac{y}{4\mathsf{n}})^{\frac{5}{4}}O(\frac{1}{\mathsf{n}^{\frac{3}{4}}}) = O(1)\frac{1}{y^{\frac{1}{4}}}(\frac{y}{4\mathsf{n}})^{\frac{5}{4}}O(\frac{1}{\mathsf{n}^{\frac{3}{4}}}) = O(\frac{1}{\mathsf{n}}). \tag{7.16}$$

Here we used $|J_\alpha(t)| \le O(1)t^{-1/2}$ and $\frac{y}{4\mathsf{n}} = O(1)$. Substituting (7.14) and (7.16) together with similar relations into (7.10), we deduce that

$$M_\alpha^{\mathsf{n}}(\frac{y}{4\mathsf{n}}) = O(\mathsf{n}^{\alpha+\frac{1}{2}})O(\frac{1}{\mathsf{n}}) = O(\mathsf{n}^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}). \tag{7.17}$$

This together with Lemma 7.1 completes the proof of Lemma 5.2. □

8. Appendix 2: No particles hit the origin.

In this setion we prove that no partciles hit the origin if $1 \le \alpha$. For this it is enough to prove that the capacity of the set being at least one particle at the origin is zero.

Lemma 8.1. *Let* $\mathsf{A} = \{\mathsf{s} \in \mathsf{S};\, \mathsf{s}(\{0\}) \geq 1\}$. *Suppose* $\alpha \geq 1$. *Then*

$$\mathrm{Cap}^{\mu}(\mathsf{A}) = 0. \tag{8.1}$$

Here Cap^{μ} *is the capacity associated with the Dirichlet space* $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}^{\mu}, L^2(\mu))$ *as before.*

Proof. Set $\mathcal{D}_{\circ,r} = \{f \in \mathcal{D}_{\circ};\, f \text{ is } \sigma[\pi_r]\text{-measurable}\}$, where $\pi_r(\mathsf{s}) = \mathsf{s}(\cdot \cap S_{b_r})$ and b_r are as in Definition 2.2. Let $\mathcal{D}_r^{\mu}$ be the closure of $\mathcal{D}_{\circ,r}$ with respect to $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}^{\mu})$ on $L^2(\mu)$. It is then clear that

$$\mathcal{D}_r^{\mu} \subset \mathcal{D}^{\mu}. \tag{8.2}$$

We can regard $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}_r^{\mu})$ on $L^2(\mu)$ as a quasi-regular Dirichlet form on $L^2(\mathsf{S}_r, \mu_r)$, where S_r is the configuration space over S_{b_r}, and $\mu_r = \mu \circ \pi_r^{-1}$ is regarded as a random point field on S_{b_r}.

Let Cap_r^{μ} be the capacity associated with the Dirichlet form $(\mathcal{E}^{\mu}, \mathcal{D}_r^{\mu})$ on $L^2(\mathsf{S}_r, \mu_r)$. We then obtain from (8.2) that for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\mathrm{Cap}^{\mu}(\mathsf{A}) \leq \mathrm{Cap}_r^{\mu}(\mathsf{A}). \tag{8.3}$$

Fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and set $\mathsf{A}_r^m = \mathsf{A} \cap \{\mathsf{s}(S_r) = m\}$. We then see that $\mathsf{A} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathsf{A}_r^m$. Hence we deduce from (8.3) and the sub-additivity of capacity that

$$\mathrm{Cap}_r^{\mu}(\mathsf{A}) \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathsf{Cap}_r^{\mu}(\mathsf{A}_r^m). \tag{8.4}$$

Taking (8.3) and (8.4) into account, we deduce (8.1) from

$$\mathrm{Cap}_r^{\mu}(\mathsf{A}_r^m) = 0 \quad \text{ for all } m \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{8.5}$$

Let m_r^m be the symmetric labeled density of $\mu \circ \pi_r^{-1}$ on S_r^m. Then

$$m_r^m(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \leq \rho_{\alpha}^m(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \quad \text{ on } S_r^m. \tag{8.6}$$

Since μ is a determinantal random point field with kernel (1.2), we see that

$$|\mathsf{K}_{\alpha}(x_i, x_j)| \leq \{\mathsf{K}_{\alpha}(x_i, x_i)\mathsf{K}_{\alpha}(x_j, x_j)\}^{1/2} = \{\rho_{\alpha}^1(x_i)\rho_{\alpha}^1(x_j)\}^{1/2}. \tag{8.7}$$

We then obtain from (1.1) and (8.7) that

$$\rho_{\alpha}^m(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \leq m! \prod_{i=1}^{m} \rho_{\alpha}^1(x_i). \tag{8.8}$$

From (8.6) and (8.8) combined with the expression (1.3), there exists a positive constant c_{10} depending on $r, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$m_r^m(x_1, \dots, x_m) \le c_{10} \prod_{i=1}^{m} x_i^{\alpha} \quad \text{for all } (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in S_r^m. \tag{8.9}$$

From (8.9) and a direct calculation using $1 \le \alpha$, we obtain (8.5). This completes the proof of (8.1). □

References

[1] Borodin A., Olshanski G., *Markov processes on the path space of the Gelfand-Tsetlin graph and on its boundary*, J. Functional Analysis 263 (2012) 248-303.

[2] Borodin A., Gorin V., *Markov processes of infinitely many nonintersecting random walks*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **155** (2013) 935-997.

[3] Forrester, Peter J., *Log-gases and Random Matrices*, London Mathematical Society Monographs, Princeton University Press (2010).

[4] Fradon, M., Roelly S., Tanemura, H., *An infinite system of Brownian balls with infinite range interaction*, Stochastic Process. Appl. **90** (2000) 43-66.

[5] Fukushima, M., *et al.*, *Dirichlet forms and symmetric Markov processes*, 2nd ed., Walter de Gruyter (2011).

[6] Fritz, J., *Gradient dynamics of infinite point systems*, Ann. Probab. **15** (1987) 478-514.

[7] Katori, M., Tanemura, H., *Non-equilibrium dynamics of Dyson's model with an infinite number of particles*, Commun. Math. Phys. 293, 469-497 (2010).

[8] Katori, M., Tanemura, H., *Markov property of determinantal processes with extended sine, Airy, and Bessel kernels*,, Markov processes and related fields **17**, 541-580 (2011)

[9] Lang, R., *Unendlich-dimensionale Wienerprocesse mit Wechselwirkung I*, Z. Wahrschverw. Gebiete **38** (1977) 55-72.

[10] Lang, R., *Unendlich-dimensionale Wienerprocesse mit Wechselwirkung II*, Z. Wahrschverw. Gebiete **39** (1978) 277-299.

[11] Ma, Z.-M., Röckner, M., *Introduction to the theory of (non-symmetric) Dirichlet forms*, Berlin: Springer-Verlag 1992.

[12] Mehta, M., *Random matrices*, (Third Edition) Elsevier 2004.

[13] Olshanski G., *Laguerre and Meixner symmetric functions, and infinite-dimensional diffusion processes*, J. Mathematical Sciences, **174**, (2011) 41-57.

[14] Osada, H., *Dirichlet form approach to infinitely dimensional Wiener processes with singular interactions*, Commun. Math. Physic. (1996), 117-131.

[15] Osada, H., *Non-collision and collision properties of Dyson's model in infinite dimensions and other stochastic dynamics whose equilibrium states are determinantal random point fields*, in Stochastic Analysis on Large Scale Interacting Systems, eds. T. Funaki and H. Osada, Advanced Studies in Pure Mathematics **39**, 2004, 325-343.

[16] Osada, H., *Tagged particle processes and their non-explosion criteria*, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **62**, No. **3** (2010)867-894 .

[17] Osada, H., *Infinite-dimensional stochastic differential equations related to random matrices*, Probability Theory and Related Fields, **153**, 471-509 (2012)

[18] Osada, H., *Interacting Brownian motions in infinite dimensions with logarithmic interaction potentials*, Ann. of Probab. **41**(2013)1-49 .

[19] Osada, H., *Interacting Brownian motions in infinite dimensions with logarithmic interaction potentials II : Airy random point field*, Stochastic Processes and their applications **123**(2013) 813-838 .

[20] Osada, H., Osada, S., *Tail σ-fields of determinantal random point fields in continuous spaces*, (in preparation)

[21] Osada, H., Tanemura, H. : Infinite dimensional stochastic differential equations arising from Airy random point fields. arXiv:1408.0632

[22] Osada, H., Tanemura, H. F Infinite dimensional stochastic differential equations and tail σ-fields. arXiv:1412.8674v3 [math.PR]

[23] Osada, H., Tanemura, H. *Strong Markov property of determinantal processes with extended kernels*, (preprint) arXiv:1412.8678 [math.PR].

[24] Sansone, G. *Orthogonal Functions*,, Interscience Publishers, (1959).

[25] Shiga, T. *A remark on infinite-dimensional Wiener processes with interactions*, Z. Wahrschverw. Gebiete **47** (1979) 299-304.

[26] Shirai, T., Takahashi, Y. *Random point associated with certain Fredholm determinants I: Fermion, Poisson and Boson processes*,, J. Funct. Anal. **205**: (2003), 414-463.

[27] Soshnikov, A., *Determinantal random point fields*, Russian Math. Surveys **55**:5 (2000) 923-975.

[28] Spohn, H., *Interacting Brownian particles: a study of Dyson's model*, In: Hydrodynamic Behavior and Interacting Particle Systems, ed. by G.C. Papanicolaou, IMA Volumes in Mathematics **9** , Springer-Verlag (1987) 151-179.

[29] Szego, G. *Orthogonal Polynomials*,, American Mathematical Society Providence, (1939).

[30] Tanemura, H., *Uniqueness of Dirichlet forms associated with systems of infinitely many Brownian balls in* $\mathbb{R}^d$, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **109** (1997) 275-299.

[31] Tanemura, H., *A system of infinitely many mutually reflecting Brownian balls in* $\mathbb{R}^d$, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **104** (1996) 399-426.